



Launceston College

A Multi Academy Trust

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION POLICY

Updated on: 21st June 2017

Review by: JAJ

Responsibility: It is the responsibility of the Launceston College Multi Academy Trust, (The MAT), to ensure procedures are in place to ensure that each Academy handles information requests covered by the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FoIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR) in accordance with the provisions laid out therein and that the Academy satisfies the standards set out in the Lord Chancellor's Code of Practice on satisfying public authorities obligations under the FoIA, produced under section 45 of that Act.

Contents:

1. Introduction
2. Background
3. Timescales
4. Delegated Responsibilities
5. Scope
6. Requesting information
7. Withholding information
8. Releasing a third party's information
9. Information held within contracts with the Academy
10. Complaints procedure
11. Requests made under the Data Protection Act
12. Illegal Actions
13. Review of the policy

1 Introduction

The MAT is committed to transparency in its dealings with the public and fully embraces the aims of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the access provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998. The MAT will make every effort to meet its obligations under the respective legislation and will regularly review procedures to ensure that it is doing so.

The underlying principle of this policy is that the public have a right to access to recorded information held by the MAT and that the MAT should seek to promote an open regime regarding access to information, subject to the exemptions contained within the relevant legislation.

2 Background

The FoIA applies to all public authorities and came fully into force on 1st January 2005. It provides the public with a statutory right of access to recorded information held by authorities, subject to certain exemptions, within twenty working days. The Act is fully retrospective and applies to all information that falls within the scope of the Act, not just information created from 1st January 2005. Section 19 of the Act also obliges the MAT to make information pro-actively available in the form of an approved “publication scheme”.

In addition, individuals currently have a statutory right of access to their own “personal data” under the DPA. Individual access rights to personal data are extended by the FoIA through amendments to the access provisions of the DPA.

The EIR provides a statutory right of access to “environmental information”, as defined in these regulations. The EIR came into force on 1st January 2005 and replaces the existing 1992 Regulations. The EIR are also fully retrospective.

The Government’s Information Commissioner enforces these three information regimes.

Each regime contains certain categories of exempt information, where information can be withheld. Any decision to withhold information under an exemption can be referred by the applicant to the Information Commissioner, who can overturn any decision to withhold information. For the purposes of this policy, the “public” is defined as any individual or organisation anywhere in the world and an “information request” refers to any request for recorded information made under the FoIA, EIR or DPA.

3 Timescales

Freedom of Information requests should be dealt with within 20 working days, excluding Academy holidays.

Requests for Data Protection (subject access requests) should be dealt with within 40 calendar days.

Requests for pupil education records should be dealt with within 15 Academy days.

4 Delegated responsibilities

Overall responsibility for ensuring that the MAT meets the statutory requirements of the FoIA, EIR and DPA lies with the MAT Board and the Chair of the Board has overall responsibility for information management issues. They have delegated the day-to-day responsibility of implementation to the MAT Chief Executive Officer, (CEO), who may choose to delegate this responsibility to an Academy Principal..

The CEO is assisted by the Finance Director who currently fulfils the role of 'Fol officer'. All MAT employees are responsible for ensuring that they handle requests for information in compliance with the provisions of the various Acts, taking advice from the Fol officer where necessary.

5 Scope

This policy applies to all recorded information held by the MAT that relates to the business of the MAT. This includes:

- Information created and held by the MAT
- Information created by the MAT and held by another organisation on our behalf
- Information held by the MAT provided by third parties, where this relates to a function or business of the MAT (such as contractual information) and
- Information held by the MAT relating to the MAT Board and Local Governors where the information relates to the functions or business of the MAT

This policy does not cover personal written communications (such as personal e-mails sent by staff). The MAT's Data Protection Policy establishes the standards regarding the use of "personal data" (as defined in the DPA).

6 Requesting information

6.1 Procedures

Practical procedures for handling information enquiries in line with the relevant legislation will be produced and copies can be obtained from the MAT's Fol Officer.

The MAT has a duty under both the FoIA and EIR to provide advice and assistance to applicants making information requests. This includes assisting the applicant in making the application for information. Although no such duty exists under the DPA, the same level of care will be provided.

6.2 Charges

The three information regimes contain different provisions that permit charges to be made for responding to information requests. The MAT Board may charge a fee for complying with requests, as calculated in accordance with FoIA regulations. If a charge is to be made, the MAT will give written notice to the applicant before supplying the information requested.

The MAT will only charge for the cost of copying and transmitting information, not for time taken in reaching decisions regarding whether information is covered by an exemption.

Where the MAT estimates that the cost of locating the information will exceed the statutory threshold of £450, it will consider whether or not to comply with the request. The MAT is not obliged to comply with such a request but may choose to

do so.

The Data Protection Act 1998 permits a charge of up to £10 to be made for responding to requests for personal data. There is a sliding scale of up to £50 for copies of educational records.

6.3 Publication

Section 19 of the FoIA obliges the MAT to make information pro-actively available in the form of a “publication scheme”. This scheme will list categories, or “classes” of information that will routinely be made available without the need for a specific information request. The MAT will indicate in the scheme where it wishes to charge for providing particular categories of information. The scheme is published on the MAT’s website.

The MAT adheres fully to the Department for Education guidance published in June 2016 which gives the exact information that all types of academies, free schools and 16 to 19 colleges should publish on their website. This ‘publication scheme’ can be found at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/what-academies-free-schools-and-colleges-should-publish-online>.

The MAT plans to review this scheme regularly. Whenever any information is provided in response to a recorded FoIA enquiry, the MAT will assess whether the information is suitable for wider publication. In general, there will be a presumption in favour of publishing such information on the MAT’s website.

7 Withholding Information

The Freedom of Information Act contains 23 exemptions whereby information can be withheld. There are two categories; absolute and non-absolute. The MAT will only withhold information if it falls within the scope of one or more of these exemptions.

Where an absolute exemption applies, the MAT can automatically withhold the information. However, where the exemption is non-absolute the information can only be withheld where the MAT decides that the public interest is best served by withholding the information. Certain exemptions also contain a “prejudice test”, which means that the exemption can only be claimed if disclosing the information would prejudice the interest protected by the exemption.

The MAT will only withhold information covered by the exemption. Complete files or documents will not be withheld just because part of the information is covered by an exemption.

The MAT will only apply an exemption where it has reason to believe that prejudice might occur to the interest protected by the exemption. In addition, wherever a “public interest” exemption is being considered, the MAT will only withhold that information which it can demonstrate that the public interest will be best served by withholding. When considering withholding information under a non-absolute exemption the MAT will take into account whether the release of the information would:

- promote further understanding of current issues of public debate;
- promote the accountability of decisions taken by the MAT and the spending and allocation of public money;
- bring to light matters of public safety;

- allows the public to understand and challenge decisions made by the MAT;
- be otherwise in the public interest.

Where information is withheld under an exemption in most cases the reason behind the decision will be made clear to the applicant, citing the exemption under which the information is being withheld. The applicant will also be given details of the right to challenge the decision through the MAT Board and the right of appeal to the Information Commissioner's Office.

Where an employee plans to apply an exemption, he/she will consider whether other MATs hold similar information. If this is considered likely, he/she may contact the relevant MAT(s) to ensure that a consistent response is provided to the applicant.

The MAT will also refuse to supply information under the FoIA, where the request is considered "vexatious" or "repeated" and under the EIR, where the request is considered 'manifestly unreasonable'.

8 Releasing a third party's information

Where, in response to a request, information belonging to a third party (either an individual or other organisation) has to be considered for release, the employee that received the request will seek input from the FoI officer prior to the release of the information.

The release of third party information will be considered carefully to prevent actions for breach of confidence or, in the case of living individuals, breaches of the DPA. Both the EIR and FoIA permit information to be withheld when its release would breach the provisions of the DPA.

When the requested information relates to a living individual and amounts to "personal data" as defined in the DPA, its disclosure could breach the DPA. Therefore the release of third party personal information relating to living individuals will be considered in accordance with the data protection principles and, in particular, the "third party" provisions of the DPA.

Where appropriate, the MAT will contact the individual to ask for permission to disclose the information. If consent is not obtained, either because it was not considered appropriate to approach the third party or the third party could not be contacted or consent is refused. The MAT will then consider if it is reasonable to disclose the information, taking into account:

- any duty of confidentiality owed to the third party
- the steps taken to seek consent
- whether the third party is able to give consent and
- any express refusal of consent

The decision to disclose third party information will also take into account the impact of disclosure on the third party, relative to the impact on the applicant of withholding the information. Where the third party has been acting in an official, rather than private capacity, the MAT will be minded to disclose the information, although decisions will be made on a case by case basis.

Where the information relates to a staff member, the provisions of the DPA will still apply in many circumstances but the nature of the information will influence the MAT's decision whether to release the information. Where the information relates to a matter clearly

private to the individual, e.g. a disciplinary hearing, the information will almost certainly be withheld. However, where the information relates to the employee acting in their official capacity, e.g. an expenses claim, the information will normally be released. The exemption relating to the release of a third party's personal data will not be used to withhold information about administrative decisions taken by the MAT.

As the DPA only relates to living individuals, the exemption relating to Data Protection under both the EIR and FoIA will not apply to information held about the deceased. Where the request might be controversial, the employee will seek input from the FoI officer who will take advice from the MAT Board where necessary.

Where the third party is an organisation, rather than an individual, the provisions of DPA 1998 will not apply. The MAT will consider consulting the third party concerning the release of their information where:

- the views of the third party may assist the MAT to decide whether an exemption under the Act applies to the information and
- in the event of the public interest test being applied, where the views of the third party may assist the MAT to make a decision relating to where the public interest lies

Consultation will not be undertaken where:

- the MAT will not be disclosing the information due to some valid reason under the Act
- the MAT is satisfied that no exemption applies to the information and therefore cannot be withheld and
- the views of the third party will have no effect on the decision e.g. where there is other legislation preventing disclosure

Where input from a third party is required, the response time for the request remains the same. Therefore it will be made clear to the third party at the outset that they have a limited time for their views to be provided and that where responses are not immediate, the decision to disclose may have to be made without their input in order for the MAT to comply with the statutory time limits dictated by the legislation.

The MAT will endeavour to inform individuals and organisations submitting information that the information might be released following an information request and, where appropriate, will provide the supplier of the information opportunity to request confidentiality or supply reasons as to why the information should be treated confidentially.

9 Information held within contracts with the MAT

Any contractual information, or information obtained from organisations during the tendering process, held by the MAT are subject to the provisions of the FoIA and EIR. Whenever the MAT enters into contracts, it will seek to exclude contractual terms forbidding the disclosure of information beyond the restrictions contained in the legislation. A standard form of wording will be included in contracts to cover the impact of FoIA and EIR in relation to the provision of information held in contracts.

The MAT can withhold contractual information where its disclosure under either the FoIA or EIR could be treated as actionable breach of confidence. Where the MAT intends to include non-disclosure provisions in a contract, it will agree with the contractor a schedule of the contract that clearly states which information should not be disclosed.

The MAT will only agree to enter into confidentiality clauses where the information is confidential in nature and that it is confident that the decision to restrict access to the information could be justified to the Information Commissioner.

Where information is not covered by the exemption relating to information accepted in confidence, a further exemption specifically under FoIA may be relevant, relating to commercial interests. This exemption is subject to a “public interest” test. Whenever the MAT has to consider the release of such information, it will contact the relevant organisation to obtain its opinions on the release of the information and any exemptions they may think relevant. However, the MAT will make the final decision relating to the disclosure of the information.

The MAT can also withhold information contained in contracts where any of the other exemptions listed in the FoIA or EIR are appropriate, although information will only be withheld in line with the MAT’s policy on the use of exemptions. All future contracts should contain a clause obliging contractors to co-operate fully and in a timely manner where assistance is requested in responding to a FoIA or EIR request.

10 Complaints procedure

Whenever the MAT withholds information under an exemption, or for any other reason, it will inform the applicant of their right to complain about the decision through the MAT’s complaints procedure and of the right of appeal to the Information Commissioner. Any complaint received will be dealt with in accordance with the MAT’s complaints procedure as detailed in the Complaints Policy. If the result of the complaints is that any decision to withhold information be overturned, this information will be supplied as soon as it is possible.

11 Requests made under the Data Protection Act

The Data Protection Act 1998 entitles an individual to his or her ‘personal data’, as defined in that Act, where the information is held on an automated system, such as a computer and also manual files, where they amount to what the DPA describes as an “accessible record” or in a structured filing system, defined in the DPA as a “relevant filing system”.

The parental right to receive information pertaining to the “educational record” of their child should continue to be administered under the Education (Pupil Information) (England) Regulations 2000. Whenever a request for personal data is received and is not covered by these regulations, the request will be administered in accordance with the relevant section of the MAT’s FoIA operating procedures.

Whenever a request is made under the DPA for personal data, the MAT will provide the applicant with the relevant information contained within files relating to that individual that is accessible under both the DPA and FoIA, subject to any exemptions.

Where it is not possible to remove third party information without rendering the response useless to the individual, the provision of third party information will be considered in line with section 7 of this policy regarding the disclosure of third party information.

The DPA contains the provision for numerous types of exemption. Therefore, whenever a member of staff is considering applying an exemption, he/she will seek the opinion of the FoI officer.

12 Illegal actions

It is a criminal offence under any of the three information regimes for members of staff to alter, deface or remove any record (including e-mails) following receipt of an information request. Both the FoIA and EIR contain specific provisions to make such action a criminal offence.

13 Review of the Policy

This policy is scheduled for review by the MAT Board annually. Comments from staff, parents and members of the public on this policy and its implementation are welcome and can be addressed to:

The Chief Executive Officer, Launceston College MAT, Hurdon Road, Launceston, Cornwall. PL15 9JR